

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/360,719	07/27/1999	PAUL C. ROGERS	3548/010	4567
7.	590 - 02/19/2004	EXAMINER		
ANDRE L. M	IARAIS	ANWAH, OLISA		
BLAKELY, SO	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ZAFMAN LLP	L DT IDUT	DARED MINIDED
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEVENTH FLOOR			2645	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004 13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/360,719	ROGERS ET AL.				
navious nauen	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Olisa Anwah	2645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 26 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a				
<u> </u>	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount that the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 January 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-7,17-24,27-30,36,139-158,270-273 and 283-286.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2,3,8-16,25,26,31-35,37-138,159-269,274-282 and 287-309</u> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		·				
10. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> SUPER TEC	FAN TSAMG VISORY PATENT EXAMINER HNOLOGY CENTER 2600	Ó · Á Olisa Anwah				
	2m 7_	Patent Examiner February 12, 2004				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Min to	1 Columny 12, 2004				

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

) No.

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 13

i 🗠

Continuation of 10. Other: The proposed change raises new issues because the following limitations were not recited previously in the pending claims: "means for allowing the user to modify the at least one processing rule" and "wherein said at least one processing rule is selected based on the calling party". Hence a new search is required.